Supplementary Committee Agenda



Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee Thursday, 14th November, 2013

Place: Committee Room 1, Civic Offices, High Street, Epping

Time: 7.00 pm

Democratic Services: Rebecca Perrin, The Office of the Chief Executive

Tel: 01992 564532 Email:

democraticservices@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

10A. TRIENNIAL VALUATION OF PENSION SCHEME (Pages 99 - 108)

(Director of Finance and ICT)To consider the attached report (FPM-017-2013/4).

In accordance with Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, together with paragraphs 6 and 25 of the Council Procedure Rules contained in the Constitution, the Chairman has agreed that this urgent item of business not specified in the agenda may be transacted.

10B. DRAFT GENERAL FUND CSB AND DDF LISTS AND SAVINGS UPDATE (Pages 109 - 118)

(Director of Finance and ICT) To consider the attached report (FPM-018-2014/14).

In accordance with Section 100B(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972, together with paragraphs 6 and 25 of the Council Procedure Rules contained in the Constitution, the Chairman has agreed that this urgent item of business not specified in the agenda may be transacted.



Report to the Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee



Report Reference:FPM-017-2013/14
Date of meeting: 14 November 2013

Portfolio: Finance & Technology

Subject: Triennial Valuation of Pension Scheme

Officer contact for further information: Bob Palmer – (01992 – 56 4279)

Democratic Services Officer: Rebecca Perrin - (01992 - 56 4532)

Recommendations/Decisions Required:

- 1. That the option to fund the deficit over 22.5 years is recommended to Cabinet; and
- 2. That subject to 1 above, Option B as set out in the Essex County Council consultation is recommended to Cabinet.

Executive Summary:

Essex County Council has provided a number of different options for the Council's pension contributions for the next three years. The ongoing contribution is the same under each scenario but the deficit contributions vary. The first choice is whether to fund the deficit over 22 or 22.5 years. For both 22 and 22.5 years there is then a further choice to be made about the timing of the payments.

The option of 22.5 years is recommended as the reduction in CSB growth is felt to offer good value relative to the small extension in timescale for repaying the deficit. It should also be noted that this deficit recovery period is still ahead of the schedule set at the previous valuation.

The payment options allow for the deficit payment for the whole period to be paid at the start of the period, at the start of each year or on a monthly basis. Option B is recommended as it allows for the increase in deficit payments to be phased over the three years and takes advantage of the discount allowed for earlier payment without compromising the Council's cashflow position.

Reasons for Proposed Decision:

Essex County Council has set a deadline of mid-January for responses to their consultation and so it is necessary to evaluate and decide on one of the options.

Other Options for Action:

The shorter deficit recovery period could be adopted or Members could choose to either take full advantage of the front loaded payment option or not to front load at all.

Shortening the recovery period would increase CSB growth at a time when there are already considerable budget pressures. Fully front loading the payments could create difficulties in the management of the Council's cashflows and would limit the ability to fund other demands at short notice without borrowing.

Report:

Introduction

- 1. Essex County Council administers the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) within Essex on behalf of the district councils and other various admitted bodies. In order to ensure that the fund is adequately resourced and able to meet its commitments, both now and in the future, valuations are conducted by actuaries on a triennial basis. The outcome of each valuation determines the contributions necessary for the following three years, to achieve the long term objective of the scheme's assets being at least equal to its liabilities.
- 2. Contributions are made up of ongoing amounts to fund future benefits and deficit contributions to make good the current position of the scheme being under funded. The ongoing contributions are set as a percentage of pay, whilst the deficit contributions are set as lump sums.

Valuation as at 31 March 2010

- 3. This valuation revealed that the improvement in funding level between 2004 and 2007 had been reversed and the scheme funding had reduced from 81 % to 71% (the value of the scheme's assets only cover 71% of the liabilities). There was some good news as the County confirmed that there was no need for any further increase in ongoing contributions, with a small reduction from 13.1% to 13% being suggested in all scenarios.
- 4. Deficit contributions had been calculated to recover the deficit over 20 years, with the maximum period allowed under the draft 2010 Funding Strategy being 30 years. Rather than move immediately to this position, and thereby limit any future flexibility, the County calculated extended deficit contribution periods based on maintaining stable contributions. For this Council the suggested period was 27 years and Member's chose this option, together with a phased increase in the deficit payments.

Valuation as at 31 March 2013

- 5. The valuation shows an improvement in funding level between 2010 and 2013 with the scheme now at a 77% funding level. This position is better than the previous valuation had anticipated and this is what has created the opportunity to recover the remaining deficit over a shorter period. If performance had been in line with expectations then three years on from a 27 year recovery we would be looking at a 24 year recovery.
- 6. Initially figures were provided for a 22 year recovery period, and these are provided at Annex 1. Due to the level of increases in annual contributions, the actuary was contacted and asked to provide an alternative scenario with the longest possible recovery period. As the scheme Funding Strategy does not allow for a reduction in contributions while the scheme is in deficit, the longest period allowable is 22.5 years, see Annex 2. The difference in annual increases is summarised below using Option A for both recovery periods –

Financial Year	Increase – 22 Years	Increase – 22.5 Years	Difference
2014/15	£30,006	£2,877	£27,129
2015/16	£65,237	£64,006	£1,231
2016/17	£68,196	£66,910	£1,286

7. Given the level of net savings already required for 2014/15, an option with a £27,129 lower increase is welcome. This is particularly the case as the recovery period is only

Page 100

- extended by 6 months and the overall position is still 1.5 years better than had been anticipated previously.
- 8. If the 22.5 year recovery period is assumed, it is then necessary to choose between the five options set out in Annex 2. As Option C has the same total payments over three years as Option A but frontloads the increase in payments, Option A is preferable to Option C. Similarly, Option D has the same total payment as Option B but frontloads the increase and so Option B is preferable to Option D. This gets us to the position where the Options A, B and E need to be compared.
- 9. Options A and B both phase in the increase in payments over three years, the difference is that A spreads payments throughout each year whilst B requires the deficit amount to be paid in one lump in April each year. Option E has one deficit payment for the entire three year period at the start of the three years. As Options B and E have frontloaded payments some element of discount is allowed which reduces the total amounts to be paid.
- 10. The different options are set out on Annex 3. The comparison shows that total payments are £123,056 lower for B than A and that this equates to an approximate discount of 5.56%. As 5.56% greatly exceeds the 0.73% currently being earned on temporary investments Option B is preferable to Option A. Paying £1.4 million in one amount instead of spreading it over the year will be manageable within the cashflow as there is still sufficient time to adjust for this.
- 11. The second comparison on Annex 3 evaluates Options B and E. It shows that Option E provides a discount rate of 5.8% which is slightly higher than the 5.56% for Option B. This means E is the most attractive option in terms of total payments necessary. However, making £4.1 million available to pay in April 2014 has implications for the Council's cashflow. Firstly, in order to make the funds available it would be necessary to negotiate an early break in one of the two long term investments of £5 million each. This would require the agreement of the other counterparties involved and may necessitate some compensation. Secondly, the Council is currently working on a number of projects that may require significant funds at short notice and taking £4.1 million out of the cashflow would make it difficult to meet any further demands. Therefore, in considering both the total payments necessary and the Council's cashflow Option B is the recommended option.

Ongoing Contributions

12. Having concentrated on the deficit payments, as that is the subject of the decision, it is worth mentioning the ongoing payments as these have increased. The ongoing contribution rate is expressed as a percentage of pensionable pay and is increasing from 13% to 15.9%, in monetary terms this is an increase of £395,114. The comments of the scheme actuary on ongoing contributions are given below –

An ongoing contribution rate is needed to obtain the level of contributions required to meet the cost of new pension benefits and this is usually based on the same assumptions as are used for the deficit.

For the 2013 valuation, the ongoing rate has been affected by the following.

- Membership movements this is very employer specific. With all else equal the younger the
 employer's members the lower the cost as the contributions can be invested for longer.

 Overall we have seen the membership age slightly. In the case of Epping Forest their active
 membership has aged by one and half years increasing the ongoing rate.
- Financial assumptions outlook of investment returns has deteriorated since the last funding valuation pushing up the cost of the benefits. This has been the main reason for the increase in the ongoing rate.
- Change in assumptions after performing an analysis of the mortality over the intervaluation

period we have adjusted the mortality assumption to be more in line with the observation that members will live longer in retirement, increasing the cost of pensions benefits.

- Change of benefits The effect varies by employer but for Epping Forest, the change to the 2014 scheme is a cost. In general we have seen a slight increase in the rate due to the accrual rate of 1/60ths moving to 1/49ths for each year of pensionable service.
- 50/50 scheme we have made the assumption that 10% of members will opt for the 50/50 scheme (pay half the contributions to receive half the benefits). This reduces the ongoing rate by almost 1% of salary.

Capitalisation of Deficit Payments

13. In some previous years it was possible to gain capitalisation directions, in part or whole, for the pension deficit payments. The qualification criteria for obtaining a capitalisation direction have tightened in recent years and there is no realistic prospect of being able to obtain a direction at this time.

Resource Implications:

The increase in ongoing contributions is partly off-set by the reduction in deficit payments. If Option B is adopted there will be a saving of £36,336 in 2014/15 to credit to the District Development Fund. This is followed by Continuing Service Budget growth of £25,891 in 2015/16 and £65,050 in 2016/17. Both the saving and the growth will need to be apportioned between the Housing Revenue Account and the General Fund.

Legal and Governance Implications:

There are no legal or governance implications.

Safer, Cleaner, Greener Implications:

There are no environmental implications.

Consultation Undertaken:

None.

Background Papers:

None.

Impact Assessments:

Risk Management

The options recommended are intended to balance the financial and cashflow risks faced by the Council.

Equality and Diversity:

Did the initial assessment of the proposals contained in this report for relevance to the Council's general equality duties, reveal any potentially adverse equality implications?

No

Where equality implications were identified through the initial assessment process, has a formal Equality Impact Assessment been undertaken?

What equality implications were identified through the Equality Impact Assessment process? N/A

How have the equality implications identified through the Equality Impact Assessment been addressed in this report in order to avoid discrimination against any particular group? N/A

Valuation	2010 £'000	2013 £'000
Assets	76,902	93,054
Liabilities	111,542	120,918
Deficit	-34,640	-27,865
Funding level	68.9%	77.0%
	2010 Valuation	2013/14

	2010 Valuation	2013/14 £ Expected
Ongoing rate	13.0%	1,763,370
Deficit	1,802,933	1,802,933
Deficit duration in years	27	
Total Contribution	SALES CHARLES	3,566,303

Pensionable Pay as at: 31-Mar-13

g) ATE FOR 3 YEARS) YMENTS	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
	-9,961	63,423	66,301
			3,686,066 119,763
15.9%	2,158,484 1,397,858	2,158,484 1,461,282	2,158,484 1,527,582
2013 Valuation	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £
		95,242 65,237	163,439 68,196
		3,661,546	3,729,742
15.9%	2,158,484 1,437,825	2,158,484 1,503,062	2,158,484 1,571,258
2013 Valuation	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £
	15.9% NYMENT IN APRIL EA 2013 Valuation 15.9%	2013 Valuation £ 15.9% 2,158,484 1,437,825 3,596,309 30,006 30,006 2014/15 2013 Valuation £ 15.9% 2,158,484 1,397,858 3,556,342 -9,961 -9,961	2013 Valuation £ 2015/16 £ 15.9% 2,158,484 2,158,484 1,437,825 1,503,062 3,596,309 3,661,546 30,006 95,242 30,006 95,242 30,006 65,237 2013 Valuation £ 2015/16 £ 15.9% 2,158,484 2,158,484 1,397,858 1,461,282 3,556,342 3,619,766 -9,961 53,462 -9,961 53,462 -9,961 63,423

22 Year Deficit Updated Ongoing rate	2013 Valuation	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 1,504,048	2,158,484 1,504,048	2,158,484 1,504,048
Total Contribution		3,662,532	3,662,532	3,662,532
Increase compared to 2013/14 Year on Year increase		96,229 96,229	96,229 0	96,229 0
OPTION D (22 yrs) Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no step Deficit Duration: 22 YEARS	ping)			
Deficit increase year on year: 0% (FLAT Deficit Payment Profile: ONE ANNUAL I		CH YEAR		
22 Year Deficit	2013 Valuation	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £

22 Year Deficit	2013 Valuation	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Updated Ongoing rate		£	£	£
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 1,462,241	2,158,484 1,462,241	2,158,484 1,462,241
Total Contribution		3,620,725	3,620,725	3,620,725
Increase compared to 2013/14		54,422	54,422	54,422
Year on Year increase		54,422	0	0

OPTION E (22 yrs) Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepp Deficit Duration: 22 YEARS	ing)			
Deficit increase year on year: NOT APPL Deficit Payment Profile: ONE TRIENNIAL		2014		
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
22 Year Deficit Updated Ongoing rate	2013 Valuation	£	£	£
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 4,143,720	2,158,484 0	2,158,484 0
Total Contribution		6,302,204	Page 1	0,38,484
Increase compared to 2013/14 Year on Year increase		2,735,901 2,735,901	-1,407,819 -4,143,720	-1,407,819 0

This page is intentionally left blank

30/10/2013 16:30										
	2	16.2	- 1	2	1	10	\sim	11	20	

Epping Forest D C		
Valuation	2010 £'000	2013 £'000
Assets	76,902	93,054
Liabilities	111,542	120,918
Deficit	-34,640	-27,865
Funding level	68.9%	77.0%

	2010 Valuation	2013/14 £ Expected
Ongoing rate	13.0%	1,763,370
Deficit	1,802,933	1,802,933
Deficit duration in years	27	
Total Contribution		3,566,303

Pensionable Pay as at: 31-Mar-13 13,564,387

OPTION A (22.5 yrs)

Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepping)

Deficit Duration: 22.5 YEARS Deficit increase year on year: 4.5%

Deficit Payment Profile: 12 MONTHLY PAYMENTS

-				
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
22.5 Year Deficit Updated Ongoing rate	2013 Valuation	£	£	£
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 1,410,696	2,158,484 1,474,702	2,158,484 1,541,612
Total Contribution		3,569,180	3,633,186	3,700,096
Increase compared to 2013/14 Year on Year increase		2,877 2,877	66,883 64,006	133,792 66,910

OPTION B (22.5 yrs)

Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepping)

Deficit Duration: 22.5 YEARS Deficit increase year on year: 4.5%

Deficit Payment Profile: ONE ANNUAL PAYMENT IN APRIL EACH YEAR

Delicit i ayillelit i Tollie. ONE ANNOAL I	ATMENT IN ALINE	LAOIT ILAN		
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
22.5 Year Deficit	2013 Valuation	£	£	£
Updated Ongoing rate				
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution)	15.9%	2,158,484	2,158,484	2,158,484
Deficit		1,371,484	1,433,710	1,498,760
Total Contribution		3,529,968	3,592,194	3,657,244
Increase compared to 2013/14		-36,336	25,891	90,941
Year on Year increase		-36,336	62,227	65,050
		,	- ,	,

OPTION C (22.5 yrs)

Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepping)

Deficit Duration: 22.5 YEARS

Deficit increase year on year: 0% (FLAT RATE FOR 3 YEARS)

Deficit Payment Profile: 12 MONTHLY PAYMENTS										
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17						
22.5 Year Deficit Updated Ongoing rate	2013 Valuation	£	£	£						
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 1,475,670	2,158,484 1,475,670	2,158,484 1,475,670						
Total Contribution		3,634,154	3,634,154	3,634,154						
Increase compared to 2013/14 Year on Year increase	Page 105	67,851 67,851	67,851 0	67,851 0						

OPTION D (22.5 yrs) Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepping) Deficit Duration: 22.5 YEARS Deficit increase year on year: 0% (FLAT RATE FOR 3 YEARS) Deficit Payment Profile: ONE ANNUAL PAYMENT IN APRIL EACH YEAR								
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17				
22.5 Year Deficit	2013 Valuation	£	£	£				
Updated Ongoing rate								
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution)	15.9%	2,158,484	2,158,484	2,158,484				
Deficit		1,434,651	1,434,651	1,434,651				
Total Contribution		3,593,135	3,593,135	3,593,135				
Increase compared to 2013/14		26,832	26,832	26,832				
Year on Year increase		26,832	0	0				

OPTION E (22.5 yrs) Onging rate: FULL INCREASE (no stepping) Deficit Duration: 22.5 YEARS Deficit increase year on year: NOT APPLICABLE Deficit Payment Profile: ONE TRIENNIAL PAYMENT IN APRIL 2014								
22.5 Year Deficit Updated Ongoing rate	2013 Valuation	2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £				
Ongoing rate (estimated £ contribution) Deficit	15.9%	2,158,484 4,065,536	2,158,484 0	2,158,484 0				
Total Contribution		6,224,020	2,158,484	2,158,484				
Increase compared to 2013/14 Year on Year increase		2,657,717 2,657,717	-1,407,819 -4,065,536	-1,407,819 0				

<u>Deficit Payment Options - A v B</u>

Notes

- 1. As the amount and timing of the ongoing contributions is identical in each option these are ignored.
- 2. Under Option A payments are split equally over each year. This means the whole amount is available to be invested at the start of the year and nothing at the end. As a reasonable approximation for this analysis half the total is treated as available for the whole year.
- 3. Under Option B payments are made at the start of the year.
- 4. The average rate currently being earned on short term deposits is 0.73% and this is unlikely to vary significantly over this period.

	Deficit Payments				
		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Total
		£	£	£	£
	Option A	1,410,696	1,474,702	1,541,612	4,427,010
	Option B	1,371,484	1,433,710	1,498,760	4,303,954
	Saving on B	39,212	40,992	42,852	123,056
Pag	Investment Compa	rison			
Эе		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Total
10.7		£	£	£	£
2	Option A	1,410,696	1,474,702	1,541,612	
	Half Invested	705,348	737,351	770,806	
	Interest Earned	5,149	5,383	5,627	16,159
	Saving on B	39,212	40,992	42,852	123,056
	Net Saving on B	34,063	35,609	37,225	106,897
	Rate of Interest to equal B	5.56%	5.56%	5.56%	

It is extremely unlikely that the Council will be able to earn more than 5% on temporary investments during this period. Therefore, Option B is recommended as it is less expensive than Option A.

<u>Deficit Payment Options - B v E</u>

Notes

- 1. To fund E would probably require calling back early a long term deposit currently earning 1.3% per annum, and so this rate has been used in the calculation below.
- 2. All payments are made at the start of the year.

Deficit Payments

	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	Total
	£	£	£	£
Option B	1,371,484	1,433,710	1,498,760	4,303,954
Option E	4,065,536	0	0	4,065,536
	-2,694,052	1,433,710	1,498,760	238,418
_				
Start balance	2,694,052	2,729,075	1,312,204	
Less payment	0	-1,433,710	-1,498,760	
Invested	2,694,052	1,295,365	-186,556	
Add interest	35,023	16,840	-2,425	
End balance	2,729,075	1,312,204	-188,981	

This shows that retaining the funds and investing them at 1.3% would leave a £189,000 shortfall compared to Option E.

Break even - using 5.8% as the interest rate

	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
	£	£	£
Start balance	2,694,052	2,850,307	1,498,760
Less payment	0	-1,433,710	-1,498,760
Invested	2,694,052	1,416,597	0
Add interest	156,255	82,163	0
End balance	2,850,307	1,498,760	0

This shows that if it was possible to invest the balance at 5.8% there would be no overall saving from Option E. As stated above, it is unlikely that an interest rate close to 5.8% will be seen before the end of 2016/17.

Agenda Item 10b

Report to the Finance and Performance Management Cabinet Committee



Report Reference: FPM-018-2013/14
Date of meeting: 14 November 2013

Portfolio: Finance and Technology

Subject: Draft General Fund CSB and DDF Lists and Savings Update

Officer contact for further information: Peter Maddock – (01992 - 56 4602)

Democratic Services Officer: Rebecca Perrin - (01992 - 56 4470)

Recommendations

To note the draft Continuing Services Budget (CSB) and District Development Fund (DDF) schedules.

Executive Summary

The report provides the first draft of the Continuing Services Budget (CSB) growth and District Development Fund (DDF) Schedules for 2014/15.

Reasons for proposed action

Members are asked to note the first draft of these schedules and make comments as appropriate.

Other options for action

No other options applicable.

Report

- 1. The Financial Issues Paper was presented to this committee on 19 September 2013. The report highlighted a number of financial uncertainties and risk facing the Authority including the reductions in Central government funding, Retention of Business Rates, Welfare reform and Waste and Leisure Management Contract Renewals.
- 2. The Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS), which forms part of the Financial Issues Paper, identified that further savings of around £2.3 million were required over the forecast period. The savings required in 2014/15 are £0.7 million after savings of £0.094 million already identified had been taken into account. The lists attached show the current position however with the recent triennial valuation of the pension fund and resulting additional on-going employer contributions and deficit payments, further reductions in Housing Benefit Admin Grant and reductions in revenue support for Waste management this target is going to be extremely challenging.
- 3. As in previous years there was again a saving on the revenue budget in 2012/13. The outturn for 2012/13 was considered by this Committee on 20 June 2013 it was noted that the General Fund budget was underspent by a little under £500,000 this was a smaller underspend than the previous year but still reasonably significant. Salary savings made up a smaller proportion of overall savings but there was also an underspend on Housing Benefits of around £195,000. The underspend on Supplies

and Services was therefore lower than in previous years suggesting that a large proportion of the historical underspends have been extracted from the budget already. The exercise to identify further savings on underspent budget has again been carried out but nothing significant has been identified so far.

- 4. There are some CSB budgets that either have a one off element within them or in some cases are budgets where there is a degree of uncertainty around whether they will be spent or not. In both cases treating an appropriate element as DDF rather than CSB should make managing those budgets easier and give a degree of flexibility.
- 5. The schedules of CSB growth/savings and DDF expenditure are attached and these are at Annexes 1 & 2. Work is on-going on these lists and this represents the position so far. An updated list will be tabled at the meeting.
- 6. There are a number of areas where further work is required before figures to be included within the budget can be finalised. Clearly the emphasis in this budget cycle will need to be on CSB savings rather than growth but there are some areas as previously mentioned where growth is inevitable. The figures generally need to be viewed in the context of this being quite early in the budget preparation process and will clearly need to be revisited over the next two months or so as the budget comes together.

Consultations Undertaken

This is the first draft of the CSB and DDF schedules. Consultations with spending officers regarding their budgets are ongoing at the moment but apart from this further consultation will take place later in the budget cycle.

Resource Implications

The schedules represent potential additions and reductions to the budget depending on decisions made during the budget process.

Legal and Governance Implications

The preparation of budgets well in advance of the financial year to which they apply, enable sound financial planning to take place. They subsequently provide a basis against which financial monitoring can take place during the year in question.

Safer, Cleaner, Greener Implications

The Council's budgets contain spending in relation to this initiative.

Background Papers

Various budget working papers held in Accountancy.

Impact Assessments

Risk Management

The setting of the budget has an impact on all areas of the Council there is a risk that the budget might be set at an unaffordable level, however setting guidelines early in the process means that the level of budget that is acceptable is known in advance.

Equality and Diversity:

Did the initial assessment of the proposals contained in this report for	No					
relevance to the Council's general equality duties, reveal any potentially						
adverse equality implications?						
Where equality implications were identified through the initial assessment	No					
process, has a formal Equality Impact Assessment been undertaken?						

What equality implications were identified through the Equality Impact Assessment process? None

How have the equality implications identified through the Equality Impact Assessment been addressed in this report in order to avoid discrimination against any particular group? N/A

This page is intentionally left blank

CONTINUING SER	VICES BUDGET - GROWT	TH / (SAVINGS) LIST	Origin ^a 2013/14 £000's	ę ^{ęj} is ^{ec} 2013/14 £000's	£stimate 2014/15 £000's	£stimate 2015/16 £000's	Estimate 2016/17 £000's	Estimate 2017/18 £000's
Chief Executive	Corporate Management Policy Unit Group Directorate Savings	Chief Executive Post- Salary savings Grant Finder subscription discontinued General	(22) (4) (5)	(22) (4) (5)				
	Total Chief Executive		(31)	(31)	0	0	0	0
Corporate Support Services	Local Land Charges Land and Property Land and Property Offices & Depots Offices Waltham Abbey Offices Trapps Hill Fleet Operations	ECC Charge for highways LLC search Increased Rental Income - Brooker Road Increased Rental Income - David Lloyd Centre NNDR Re-assessment Civic Offices Area Office Rent Area Office Rent Reduced Income	(9) (70) 13 (12) (4)	(9) (66) (11) (87) (12) (4) 20				
	Total Corporate Support Ser	vices	(82)	(169)	0	0	0	0
Deputy Chief Executive	All Weather Pitch Youth Council DCE directorate	Townmead Project Youth Council Savings from removal Vacant Posts	(32) 12 (8)	(18) 12 (8)	(17)			
	Total Deputy Chief Executive	e	(28)	(14)	(17)	0	0	0
Ponvironment & Street O S S S S S S S S S S S S	CCTV Cameras Grounds Maintenance Leisure Facilities North Weald Airfield Off Street Parking Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Waste Management Environmental Waste Management Total Environment & Street	Transfer replacement cameras to capital Leasing costs Ransome Mowers Loughton Leisure management fee reduction Loss of income Market Rents Change of contractor Gate Fees saving Contract savings Recycling Credits Reduction Avoided Disposal Payment Savings from removal Vacant Posts Inter Authority Agreement, reduced ECC Income	(25) (10) (66) 174 (23) (176) (33)	(25) (10) (66) 348 (23) (42) (31) 7 (179) (33)	40 40	8	19	0
	i otai Environment & Street	ocene	(159)	(54)	40	8	19	U

CONTINUING SERVICES BUDGET - GROWTH / (SAVINGS) LIST			Original 2013/14	جو ^{نانيوه 2013/14}	Estimate 2014/15	Estimate 2015/16	E ^{stimate} 2016/17	Estimate 2017/18
Directorate	Service		£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Finance & ICT	Directorate Savings External Audit	General BDO fees	(3) (30)	(3) (30)				
	Finance & ICT	Savings from removal Vacant Posts	(63)	(63)				
	Finance Miscellaneous	Stationery- procurement savings	(15)	(15)				
	Finance Miscellaneous	Car Leasing (excluding HRA)	(24)	(24)	(29)	(11)	(7)	
	Housing Benefits	Housing Benefit Admin Subsidy settlement reductions	104	104 31	195			
	NNDR	Fees re Enforcement action on Bad Debts	25	31				
	Total Finance and ICT		(6)	0	166	(11)	(7)	0
Housing								
	Total Housing							
Planning & Economic	Development Control	Planning Fees increase	(50)	(25)	15			
Development	Building Control Ring Fenced Acc	Savings from removal Vacant Posts	(29)	(29)				
	Building Control Ring Fenced Acc	Savings from removal Vacant Posts	19	19				
Û	Building Control Ring Fenced Acc	Reduction in Fee Income		73 (73)				
)E	Building Control Ring Fenced Acc Conservation Policy	Reduction in Fee Income Conservation Advice SLA		12				
Page	Development Control	Pre Application Fees Increase		(32)				
<u> </u>	Total Planning & Economic Devel	lopment	(60)	(55)	(115)	0	0	0
<u> </u>	-							
4	Investment Interest	Reduction due to shops transfer	60	60	109	45		
Other Items	New Homes Bonus	A LEG and On the Control Control	(550)	(550)	202			
	Pensions Pensions	Additional On-going Contributions Deficit Payments	53	53	263	17	43	
	1 611910119	Denot Layments	33	55		17	70	
	Total CSB		(803)	(760)	446	59	55	0

DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND

	Directorate	Description	O ^{riginar} 2013/14 £000's	2013/14 £000's	ج ^{ونانود 2013/14 £000's}	£5timate 2014/15 £000's	¢s ^{im} ate 2015/16 £000's	£5tinate 2016/17 £000's	£s ^{thrate} 2017/18 £000's
Chief Executive	Elections	No District Elections May 2013	(110)		(110)				
	Electoral Registration	Individual Registration Grant				(33)			
	Electoral Registration	Individual Registration Costs				33			
	Grants to Voluntary Orgs	Furniture Exchange Scheme	40	15	15	00			
	Grants to Voluntary Orgs	VAEF transport scheme	16		(16)	32			
	Corporate Policy Making	Efficiency Challenge Programme (RIEP)		14	14	00			
	Corporate Policy Making	LLPG staffing		100	78	22			
	Corporate Policy Making	LLPG staffing HRA Contribution	-	(22)	(17)	(5)			
	Civic & Member	Electronic Delivery of Agendas	5		5				
	Total Chief Executive		(89)	107	(31)	49	0	0	0
Corporate Support	Estates & Valuation	Council Asset Rationalisation	91	21	112				
Services	Estates & Valuation	Council Asset Rationalisation HRA Contribution	(13)	(3)	(16)				
	Estates & Valuation	Additional Staff Surveyor			11	33			
	Land and Property	Reduced Rental Income - Oakwood Hill Industrial Unit			35				
	Land and Property	Reduced Rental Income - Greenyard Waltham Abbey	11		11				
	Land and Property	Langston Road Planning Application			150				
	Local Land Charges	Increased Income	(20)		(30)				
	Local Land Charges	Removal of Personal Search charges(Claims) (LLC Amendment Rules 2010)		93	5	88			
℧	Offices & Depots	NNDR Re-assessment Civic Offfices			(209)				
ω	Non HRA Building Maintenance	Planned Building Maintenance Programme	154	76	171	199	42	30	66
Page	Public Conveniences	Relocation of Superloo	21		21				
е	Total Corporate Support Services		244	187	261	320	11	(23)	66
_Deputy Chief Executive	Community & Culture	Redundancy		6	8				
(J	Public Relations & Information	Website Officer	11		11	11	3		
01	Public Relations & Information	Contribution from Uttlesford toward post DPR/02	(14)		(14)				
	Deputy Chief Executive	Externally Funded Projects	94		188	137			
	Deputy Chief Executive	Externally Funded Projects	(94)		(188)	(137)			
	NWA Strategy Action Plan	Aviation Consultant		12					
	NWA Strategy Action Plan	NWA Consultancy exercise	76	64	152				
	Total Deputy Chief Executive		73	82	157	11	3	0	0

DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND

	Directorate	Description	Original 2013/14 £000's	61 101 113 2013/14 £000's	جو ^{ناټو} ک 2013/14 £000's	£shnate 2014/15 £000's	€2 ⁵ irrate 2015/16 £000's	£siinate 2016/17 £000's	7 2017/18	
Environment & Street	Food Safety	Inspections	4	1	5	4				
	Inspection of Workplaces	Expenditure of government grant	10	6	16					
	Inspection of Workplaces	Eton Manor Prosecution costs			8					
	Inspection of Workplaces	Eton Manor Prosecution awarded costs	4.4		(70) 27	4.4				
	Safer Communities Safer Communities	Analysts post	14		(28)	14				
	Parks & Grounds	Contributions to Analysts post Roding Valley Lake - Disabled Projects	10		(20)					
	Parks & Grounds	Roding Valley Lake - Disabled Projects Roding Valley Lake - Disabled Projects	(10)							
	North Weald Airfield	Loss of Income - Hangar 5	14		14	4				
	North Weald Airfield	Loss of Income - Market Rents	125		11	•				
	North Weald Airfield	Safety of Bund	2	1	3	3	3			
	Contaminated Land & Water Quality	Contaminated land investigations	14	22	40	25				
	Waste Management	Waste contract procurement consultants	100		60	40				
	Waste Management	Wheeled bin replacements	9		9					
	Waste Management	Publicity		10	10					
	Waste Management	Advertising		1		3				
П	Abandoned Vehicles	Abandoned vehicles contract	4	4	4	4				
Pag	Total Environment & Street Scene		296	45	109	97	5		0 0	-
nance & ICT	Insurance Services	Additional income re Uttlesford Insurance work	(3)		(3)					
	Council Tax Collection	Bailiffs in Liquidation Income net of costs	(-)	2	(35)					
<u> </u>	Council Tax Collection	Temporary Additional Staffing	98		108	49				
_	Council Tax Collection	Technical Agreement Contributions	(98)		(186)	(186)				
O	Council Tax Collection	Collection Investment	, ,		(52)	(52)				
	Council Tax Collection	Local Council Tax Support New Burdens Grant			(62)					
	Housing Benefits	Atlas Project Expenditure		7						
	Housing Benefits	Local Council Tax Support	44		44					
	Housing Benefits	Housing Benefit Admin - Additional Admin Subsidy	(30)		(30)					
	Housing Benefits	Hardship Fund			(5)					
	Housing Benefits	Data Sharing Programme			13					
	Housing Benefits	Data Sharing Programme			(13)					
	Housing Benefits	Transitional Funding		18	18					
	Housing Benefits	Welfare Reform Grant		19	19					
	Housing Benefits	Welfare Reform Changes	•		(34)					
	NNDR	New Burdens Small Business Rate Relief Costs	6		6					
	Total Finance & ICT		17	46	(212)	(189)	-)	0 0	-
			·							•

DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT FUND

	Directorate	Description	Origin ^{al} 2013/14 £000's	# 1017/113 2013/14 £000's	ج ^و ناج ^و 2013/14 £000's	£5tmate 2014/15 £000's	£simate 2015/16 £000's	£5 th na ^{te} 2016/17 £000's	£simate 2017/18 £000's
Housing	Homelessness Homelessness Private Sector Housing	DCLG - Rental Loan Scheme DCLG - Rental Loan Scheme ECC re. Mobile Homes/Sites Improvements	52 (52) 14		52 (52) 14				
	Total Housing		14	0	14	0	0	0	0
Planning & Economic Development	Building Control Group Building Control Group Countrycare Countrycare Development Control Development Control Development Control Economic Development Economic Development Forward Planning Planning Services Town Centre Regeneration	Salary saving re vacant posts (net of Consultants) Salary saving re vacant posts Ring Fenced Element BRIE - SLA Protected species/habitat related consultation Contingency for Appeals Pre Application Consultants Fees - saving Pre Application Fees Increase Business Promotion & Support Town Centres Support/Portas Funding Local Plan Maternity Cover Planning Delivery Grant 4 Waltham Abbey Regeneration Projects	(36) 24 5 9 50 (10) (4) 35 282	1 (2) 10 10 292 17 28	(79) 62 6 9 48 (10) (5) 41 417 39 17	(89) 69 6 9 10 4 304 13	0 8 30		
Page 117	Total Planning & Economic Developm	nent	376	356	555	326	0	0	0
	Total Service Specific District Development Fund		931	823	853	614	19	(23)	66
	Lost Investment Interest Council Tax Freeze Right to Challenge Grants Pensions Reimbursement of Principal re Heritable	Deficit Pavments	201 (80) (68)	13	201 (80) 13 (68)	151 (80) (24)	115	78	
	Total District Development Fund		984	836	919	661	134	55	66

This page is intentionally left blank